

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,452		01/11/2002	A. Joseph Antos	SP01-002	8460
22928	7590	07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING SP-TI-3-1	G INCOI	RPORATED	RAHLL, JERRY T		
CORNING, NY 14831		4831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2874	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/044,452	ANTOS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jerry T Rahli	2874				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	une 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-36 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>5,7,10-17 and 37-42</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>4 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receive	ition No				
* 9	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,452

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings submitted have been reviewed and determined to facilitate understanding of the invention. The drawings are accepted as submitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being inherently anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,416,800 to Weber et al.
- 4. Weber et al. describes an optical fiber having a core and a cladding surrounding the core comprising a sugar solution at 80% in water. The 20% water solution would inherently have greater tan 190 ppm water (see claims 1, 2 and 9 of Jang).
- 5. Further, the optical fiber of Weber et al. must inherently be free of chlorine to be edible as described.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/044,452

Art Unit: 2874

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,900,119 to Hill et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,463,684 to Jang.
- 8. Hill et al. describes an optical fiber coupler having a plurality of optical fibers with a portion fused to the other fiber (see figures 3-4). At least one of the fibers has an overlead region surrounding the core. Hill et al. does not describe a portion of the overclad region containing at least two dopants.
- 9. Jang describes an optical fiber coupler (see figure 3) having a fiber comprising an overclad region surrounding a core where at least a portion of the overclad region contains two dopants, namely GeO₂ and B₂O₃ (see Columns 5-8 and Claims 1 and 8).
- 10. Hill et al. and Jang are analogous are because they are from the same field of endeavor of optical coupling. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the fiber and cladding structure of Jang with the coupler of Hill et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to make the coupling structure of Hill et al. insensitive to the external environment and temperature-compensated. (see Column 8 of Jang) Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Hill et al. and Jang to obtain the invention as specified in claims 18-19.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 5, 7, 10-17 and 37-42 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 4 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. Claims 4, 7, 9, 16-17 and 37-42 describe an optical coupler containing water in the cladding.

- 14. Claims 5, 7 describe an optical fiber having an overcladding containing water.
- 15. Claims 10-15 describe an optical waveguide body of silica material for fusing to at least one other waveguide body containing water.
- 16. This is subject matter not describe by the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S Patent No. 5,113,470 to Fukushima et al. describe an optical waveguide with a liquid cladding layer.
- 18. Prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements filed on 11 January 2002, 12 August 2002 and 18 November 2002 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,452

Art Unit: 2874

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry T Rahll

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER